

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,772	07/24/2003	Takuya Uchiyama	1713.1006	7854	
21171 75	90 11/01/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HA	LSEY LLP	•	WALSH, I	DANIEL I	
SUITE 700	RK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON			2876		
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/200	DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

EK	
a	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/625,772	UCHIYAMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel I. Walsh	2876	

	Daniel I. Walsh	2070					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
HE REPLY FILED 18 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compl following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	affidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on speen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statebove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explore a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
	but prior to the date of filing a brid	f will not be entered					
3. Mathematics The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. $igsqcup$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prothe status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 2-4,17,18 and 23-25.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and							
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•		•				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: see note below. 							
							
·							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Claism 2-4, 17, 18, and 23-25 remain rejected as per the Final Office Action of 7-27-06. The Examiner notes that the After Final Amendment of 10-18-06 will not be entered because it has new limitations of detecting a difference between successive locations of the non-contact IC card to provide a pointer function based on movement of the non-contact IC regards, which requires a new search/consideration.

DANIEL WALSH PRIMARY EXAMINER